ASC Paper Review Form

**PAPER STRUCTURE**

**Alignment.**\* Does the paper align with the stated aims and scope of the ASC annual conference?

 1: Yes (include "N/A" in the text box below)

 0: No (provide detailed comments below)

Comments

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Formatting.**\* Does the paper strictly follow the ASC proceedings format guidelines?

 1: Yes (include "N/A" in the text box below)

 0: No (provide detailed comments below)

Comments

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Writing.**\* Is the writing clear and concise?

 1: Yes (include "N/A" in the text box below)

 0: No (provide detailed comments below)

Comments

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Contribution.**\* Does the paper clearly present how the research adds to the body of knowledge?

 1: Yes (include "N/A" in the text box below)

 0: No (provide detailed comments below)

Comments

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Title, Abstract, Keywords.**\* Do the title, abstract and keywords accurately describe the contents? Does the abstract include the main findings of the study?

 1: Yes (include "N/A" in the text box below)

 0: No (provide detailed comments below)

Comments

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**TECHNICAL WRITING**

**Literature Review.**\* Does the paper present a review of the literature that is pertinent to the study’s findings?

 1: Yes (include "N/A" in the text box below)

 0: No (provide detailed comments below)

Comments

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Organization.**\* Is the paper structured in a clear and organized fashion typical of academic writing?

 1: Yes (include "N/A" in the text box below)

 0: No (provide detailed comments below)

Comments

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Methodology.**\* Does the methodology sufficiently explain how the study was conducted?

 1: Yes (include "N/A" in the text box below)

 0: No (provide detailed comments below)

Comments

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Figures & Tables.**\* Are figures & tables included to accurately, clearly, and thoroughly communicate the needed information?

 1: Yes (include "N/A" in the text box below)

 0: No (provide detailed comments below)

Comments

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Overall evaluation & Comments to Author(s)**. Please provide your summary evaluation of the overall submission and any additional comments you wish to provide the author(s).

 3: strong accept

 2: accept

 1: weak accept

 0: borderline paper

 -1: weak reject

 -2: reject

 -3: strong reject

Comments

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Confidential remarks for the program committee.** If you wish to add any remarks intended only for PC members please write them below. These remarks will only be seen by the PC members having access to reviews for this submission. They will not be sent to the authors. This field is optional.

|  |
| --- |
|  |